Reference: 20150393

11 November 2015

Thank you for your Official Information Act request, received on 14 September 2015. You requested the following:

All current risk registers compiled by the department or on behalf of the department

On 12 October 2015 I wrote to you advising that the Treasury was extending the time limit for deciding on your request by an additional 20 working days.

Interpretation of your Request

I have interpreted your request to mean the high-level Treasury risk register.

Many areas of Treasury will have a risk register as part of 'business as usual' or for a special project. However, Treasury does not maintain a central record of such registers. I consider it would be an onerous task, and require diversion of significant resources, to attempt to research, compile, and assess every risk register that may exist in Treasury.

If you have a particular topic or area of interest, you may wish to make a fresh request with greater specificity.

Information Being Released

Please find enclosed the following document:

Item	Date	Document Description	Decision			
1.	Current	Executive Leadership Team (ELT)/Kaiurungi risk register	Release in part			

I have decided to release the document listed above, subject to information being withheld under section 9(2)(g)(i) of the Official Information Act:

• to maintain the effective conduct of public affairs through the free and frank expression of opinions.

In making my decision, I have considered the public interest considerations in section 9(1) of the Official Information Act.

Please note that this letter (with your personal details removed) and enclosed document may be published on the Treasury website.

This fully covers the information you requested. You have the right to ask the Ombudsman to investigate and review my decision.

Yours sincerely

Fergus Welsh Chief Financial Officer

The Treasury - Risk Register									Almont Contain		R	esidual Risk As	sessment	
ine ireasury - kisk kegister									Almost Certain Likely	0	(0 0	0
	ELT/Kaiurungi Draft update 2015 07 06								Possible Unlikely	0	1	1	2 5	0
	State aparate 2013 07 00								Rare	0	(1 0	1
										Minimal	Minor		Significant Severe	
				Inherent Risk A	ssessment						F	Residual Risk Ass		
Risk that results in (provide a brief outline of the risk event and the impact)	What are main causes for the risk event to occur	Risk Owner	Assessment of control environment	Likelihood	Consequence	Risk Level	Other activities and actions taken to manage the risk	Risk Manager	Expected Completion Date	Likelihood	Consequence	Risk Level	Risk Direction Acceptable/ not acceptable	Comments
xcellence horizon, resulting in sub-optimal delivery,	Intermediate Outcomes • unclear roles and responsibilities for the executive, line managers and the different executive	CE /ELT	Satisfactory	Possible	Significant		Categorisation of resources through the planning process provides greater transparency of trade-offs that inform ELT and OLAG of prioritisation decisions Oversight role of ELT supported by OLAG - regular (weekly) area of focus by ELT Clarity of roles and responsibilities Strategic Intention Steering Groups, Deputy Secretaries and Directors Quarterly prioritisation discussions with Minister, which involves agreeing clear 3-6 month milestones and an assessment of progress to date (refer December 2014 meeting) The revised economic strategy and a focus on the impact of activities or achieving our intermediate outcomes will be used to inform the Treasury prioritisation processes over the next few years - demonstrated through the 2015/16 Planning Process.	DCE/ Int Outcome Owners	30-Jun-15	Rare	Significant		Acceptable once implemented	[Withheld under s9(2)(g)(i)]
ll be new and emerging areas of focus arising. e risk is that the Treasury is not agile and nimble	Failure to identify emerging issues in the a timely manner Unclear roles and responsibilities for the decision making process Inadequate information to scope resource requirements Lack of flexibility to reprioritise the organisation activity	CE /ELT	Satisfactory	Possible	Significant		Clear inkage between strategy and planning processes and resource allocations driven off this. Oversight role of ELT supported by OLAG including having clarity on trade-gifs and informing Ministers of implications. Medium term investment programme, LTFS, investment Statement etc developed with strong engagement with Ministers and external stakeholders and findings inform future prioritisation of people capability \$ and work programmes. Reallocation of resources across the four year baseline, and use of tools such as the retention of under spends will assist in determining the sequencing and timing of initiatives. Clarity on purpose and main areas of empfiasis of the Change Programme. Ensure effective communication strategy with staff.	DCE/ Int Outcome	30-Jun-15	Rare	Significant		→ Acceptable once implemented	This risk is reflects any unforeseen changes and shifts in priority areas that may occur during 2015 that would require the Treasury to change its focus.
Changing Operating Model If the changes to the Operating Model set out in the Four-year Plan are not integrated and aligned strategically and operationally, they will not produce the required results in the change areas specified, and the Four-Year Plan will underachieve	Lack of clarity on deliverables, timeframes and accountabilities Lack of coordination across the the different change	CE /ELT	Satisfactory	Possible	Significant		Processes already working: The Operating Model changes drive off the Treasury strategy and there a clear linkage to the strategic objectives to support any changes Clear alignment between Operating Model and Workforce Strategy, ISSI and financial strategy exists as part of Four-Year Plan development Further actions required: Governance for implementation of these streams of work – closely monitored and tracked as key areas of focus by -ELT and Kaiurungi Develop clear KPIs Tracking progress against KPIs		30-Dec-15	Possible	Moderate		Acceptable once implemented	The Four-Year Plan states: The Treasury is changing to be better placed to achieve our strategic direction and meet government priorities. These changes include: • more active management of the Crown's balance sheet • supporting the Social Housing Reform Programme (SHRP) • investment management and asset performance • working effectively to support State sector outcomes • establishing stronger governance, leadership and coordination of our work on social inclusion • raising awareness, professionalism and skills development in financial management • strengthening our use of information, and • implementing our Information Strategy (IS).
Base & Capability The Treasury's main assets are its people, information and system tools. The risk is that we don't adequately invest in maintaining and developing our people,	Failure through: • staff capability & capacity - failure to attract, develop and retain staff • Aggrieved & disengaged staff • Financial constraints - meaning adequate investment does not occur on physical assets (maintenance, replacement and ensuring a safe working environment) •inadequate financial planning resulting in surprises and sweating of assets leading to failure • inadequate investment in Systems and tools • Inadequate performance information received and reported on	CE /OLAG	Satisfactory	Possible	Significant		• Develop financial investment strategy that sets out how we will address financial pressures over the next 4 years - to be included as part of the Four Year Plan • Workforce strategy sets out the future capability requirements and provides the strategy sets out the future capability requirements and provides the strategy to achieve. This includes reviewing the Treasury's Diversity and Employee Value Proposition strategies, which will have a focus on identifying alternative channels for attracting talent to the Treasury, and what makes it attractive for someone to join the Treasury and remain with us. The work on this will also feed into the Treasury's review of its recruitment strategy • IS sets out the direction that we are taking which is consistent with direction and guidance provided by GCIO • Information Strategy being developed to address how we manage the data • Management information systems developed and implemented that provide timely and relevant information to support good decision making and tracking key financial and HR KPIs • Executive oversight of performance - use of the ELT dashboard to focus on the right areas and the right decisions	Dep Sec SCP/ CFO	30-Jun-15	Unlikely	Significant		→ Acceptable oncel implemented	[Withheld under s9(2)(g)(i)]
Stewardship risks - Organisational resilience If the central business functions on which Treasury depends to deliver its services are not well designed and maintained, then Treasury will not be able to maintain its services following a disruption. The result will be critical services will fail, Crown operations will be disrupted, and the reputation and influence of Treasury will be damaged.	support for the three Central Agencies) • Abegúacy of Treasury's Corporate Policies and procedures and staff awareness of them	COO (DS SCP)	Satisfactory	Possible	Severe		Processes already working: • Treasury Crisis Management Plan that is supported by CASS; in need of update and testing. • CASS coordinated the Central Agencies response for GCIO return • Code of Conduct and other ethics related policies and procedures Further actions required: • Update to Treasury Crisis Management Plan. This will be aligned with response strategies developed for the other Central agencies • Review and update of Treasury Business Continuity Plan, aligned with services for other Central Agencies • Undertake an exercise to test the Treasury Crisis Management Plan • Review of Treasury Corporate Policies (CASS undertaking the review of policies that they are responsible for)	Risk Advisor Risk Advisor/	30 Sept 2015 " 30 Nov 2015	Unlikely	Severe		→ Acceptable once implemented	