The Treasury

Budget 2015 Information Release

Release Document July 2015

www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/informationreleases/budget/2015

Key to sections of the Official Information Act 1982 under which information has been withheld.

Certain information in this document has been withheld under one or more of the following sections of the Official Information Act, as applicable:

- [1] 6(a) to prevent prejudice to the security or defence of New Zealand or the international relations of the government
- [2] 6(c) to prevent prejudice to the maintenance of the law, including the prevention, investigation, and detection of offences, and the right to a fair trial
- [3] 6(e)(iv) to damage seriously the economy of New Zealand by disclosing prematurely decisions to change or continue government economic or financial policies relating to the entering into of overseas trade agreements.
- [4] 9(2)(a) to protect the privacy of natural persons, including deceased people
- [5] 9(2)(b)(ii) to protect the commercial position of the person who supplied the information or who is the subject of the information
- [6] 9(2)(ba)(i) to prevent prejudice to the supply of similar information, or information from the same source, and it is in the public interest that such information should continue to be supplied.
- [6a] 9(2)(ba)(ii) to protect information, where the making available of the information would be likely otherwise to damage the public interest
- [7] 9(2)(d) to avoid prejudice to the substantial economic interests of New Zealand
- [8] 9(2)(f)(iv) to maintain the current constitutional conventions protecting the confidentiality of advice tendered by ministers and officials
- [8a] 9(2)(f)(ii) to maintain the current constitutional conventions protecting the collective and individual ministerial responsibility
- [9] 9(2)(g)(i) to maintain the effective conduct of public affairs through the free and frank expression of opinions
- [10] 9(2)(h) to maintain legal professional privilege
- [11] 9(2)(i) to enable the Crown to carry out commercial activities without disadvantage or prejudice
- [12] 9(2)(j) to enable the Crown to negotiate without disadvantage or prejudice
- [13] 9(2)(k) to prevent the disclosure of official information for improper gain or improper advantage
- [14] Not in scope

Where information has been withheld, a numbered reference to the applicable section of the Official Information Act has been made, as listed above. For example, a [4] appearing where information has been withheld in a release document refers to section 9(2)(a).

In preparing this Information Release, the Treasury has considered the public interest considerations in section 9(1) of the Official Information Act.

From: [9]

To: ^Parliament: Simon Duncan

"Jason Raven"; Nick Carroll [TSY]; Fiona Carter-Giddings [TSY]; Jill Caughey [TSY]; [9] Cc:

Ellen MacGregor-Reid [DPMC]; Simon MacPherson [DPMC]; Tim Garlick; Becky Preb

Subject: Further information for discussion of MSD"s implementation costs

Wednesday, 1 April 2015 4:37:00 p.m. Date:

[SEEMAIL][SENSITIVE]

Hi Simon

Email as requested for you discussion with MoF this evening on MSD's implementation costs for the Material Hardship package, sorry that it's a bit long.

Call me if you have any questions on [9]

Cheers

[9]

MSD's implementation costs for the Material Hardship package

Backaround

This email outlines MSD's implementation costs in greater detail and sets out the implications of potentially halving the funding of these costs.

The total costs (provided to Budget Ministers yesterday) are currently estimated to be \$21.861m over the four years, including:

- IT costs of changes (one-off) in 2015/16:\$3.963m
- Unavoidable implementation costs (communications to beneficiaries, staff training etc, other than IT): \$3.233m over four years
- Ongoing staffing costs associated with administering:
 - o 52-week re-application process for sole parents \$11.468m over four years
 - o Work search obligations for a wider group of beneficiaries \$3.198m over four years

These costs reflect the scaled options for the ongoing staffing costs chosen by Joint Ministers, who were delegated the task of making detailed decisions on the Child Material Hardship package, on 11 March. This includes a scaled amount of funding for additional case management to increase the impact of the new obligations for re-application and work search.

Implications of halving the costs

If these costs are required to be halved, this leaves around \$11m over four years. There are currently \$16.169m of unavoidable costs. This includes the IT costs and unavoidable implementation costs above (\$7.196m), plus the minimum level of ongoing staffing costs required to simply process the 52-week re-applications, with no additional active case management resource for either the re-application or the increased work search obligations (\$8.974m).

Asking MSD to meet the unavoidable costs to achieve the minimum level of funding specified would require MSD to reprioritise around \$5m over the four years. This is not a large amount, however MSD is already being asked to absorb a significant number of costs, particularly in 2015/16 **[8]** and MSD continues to face significant costs above funding levels across the forecast period. Given this, Treasury's view is that MSD has a very limited ability to meet

additional cost pressures from baselines.

Scaling these costs in half would also result in the loss of funding for the resources for more case management of the new obligations. Whilst discretionary, it is these costs which are most likely to be offset by a reduction in income support spending from people moving off-benefit, as the ongoing evaluation of the Work-Focused Case Management service shows. The re-application process for JobSeeker Support has also been shown to give a return of \$5.71 for each dollar spent administering the provision (the return from expanding this to sole parents is likely to be lower, but still positive). Funding for the re-application process also minimises the risk of unintentional hardship as a result of the new requirement.

Treasury recommendation

Treasury is supportive of retaining the funding of \$21.861m over four years for more case management resource for both the 52-week reapplication and the new work search obligations, particularly given the strong evidence of the effectiveness of these interventions. This funding also supports the new Better Public Services (BPS) Result 1 target. Reducing this funding will reduce MSD's ability to reach the BPS 1 target, and likely forego fiscal savings above the short-term cost.

Breakdown of costs:

Current implementation costs (recommended):

\$m		TOTAL			
Component	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	
52-week reapplication for sole parents	2.264	3.571	2.817	2.817	11.469
Work search obligations (5 years to 3 years)	0.995	0.734	0.734	0.734	3.198
Part-time work obligations (15hrs to 20hrs)	0.841	0.067	0.067	0.067	1.042
Childcare Assistance	0.727	=	=	=	0.727
Rate Change	0.809	1.327	-	-	2.137
Project team – 18 months	2.216	1.074	-	-	3.290
TOTAL	7.851	6.773	3.618	3.618	21.861

Minimum implementation costs:

\$m	Fiscal year				TOTAL
Component	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	
52-week reapplication - minimum	1.728	2.182	2.182	2.182	8.274
Work search obligations - minimum	0.700	-	-	-	0.700
Part-time work obligations (15hrs to 20hrs)	0.841	0.067	0.067	0.067	1.042
Childcare Assistance	0.727	-	-	-	0.727
Rate Change	0.809	1.327	-	-	2.137
Project team – 18 months	2.216	1.074	-	-	3.290
Minimum implementation costs TOTAL	7.018	4.651	2.249	2.249	16.167

Note that the IT costs of \$3.963 in 2015/16 are split across all components of the package.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information in this email is confidential to the Treasury, intended only for the addressee(s), and may also be legally privileged. If you are not an intended addressee:

- a. please immediately delete this email and notify the Treasury by return email or telephone (64 4 472 2733);
- b. any use, dissemination or copying of this email is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.