## Cabinet Policy Committee

NEORMATION

DOI Min (02) 9/5

**POL Min (03) 8/5** 

Copy No: 27

COPY FOR YOUR

## **Minute of Decision**

This document contains information for the New Zealand Cabinet. It must be treated in confidence and handled in accordance with any security classification, or other endorsement. The information can only be released, including under the Official Information Act 1982, by persons with the appropriate authority.

# Government Response to the Royal Commission on Genetic Modification: Economic Analysis Results and Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act Implications

On 9 April 2003 the Cabinet Policy Committee:

### **Economic modelling results**

- noted that previous international studies have produced mixed evidence of the economic implications of genetically modified (GM) crops;
- noted that these previous international studies have considered crops that are not economically significant to New Zealand;
- noted that the economic modelling undertaken with New Zealand-specific GM organism release applications indicates a range of possible positive and negative impacts on Gross Domestic Product (GDP), depending on the productivity improvements, price impacts and types of technology modelled;
- 4 **noted** that officials' analysis of the modelling results suggests that forgoing the release of GM organisms is likely to have a more significant negative impact on GDP than any of the GM organism release scenarios;
- noted that the analysis suggests that the two major determinants of whether the economic impact of releasing GM organisms is positive or negative in New Zealand are:
  - 5.1 the effect of a release of a GM organism on the international price of New Zealand produce; and
  - the size of the productivity gain that can be achieved through the release of a GM organism;
- noted that Government policy interventions, such as maintenance of a robust regulatory regime and promotion of domestic biotechnology research, can have a significant impact on the determinants in paragraph 5 above;
- noted that the scenarios considered likely to lead to positive impacts on GDP reflect current Government policy of a rigorous regulatory regime, international confidence in that regulatory regime, a case-by-case approach to assessing applications, and successful co-existence between GM and non-GM production methods;

8 **noted** that the modelling results suggest that the release of GM organisms closer to the human food chain poses greater economic risks than releases for medical or pest control purposes;

## **HSNO** decision-making processes

- noted that there is a requirement in the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms (HSNO) Act and HSNO Methodology for the Environmental Risk Management Authority (ERMA) to take account of economic costs, benefits, and risks when considering an application for release of a new organism, including national level effects;
- noted that Ministers have previously agreed to make a number of changes to the HSNO Act largely in respect of the management of new organisms [CMC Min (03) 3/13, CAB Min (03) 4/1B, CAB Min (03) 4/3];
- agreed that no further changes, beyond those noted in paragraph 10, are required to either the HSNO Act or the HSNO Methodology to ensure that the economic consequences of a new organism application are appropriately considered;
- agreed that further work be undertaken by ERMA, in consultation with relevant departments, on how it would approach its assessment of economic impacts within the context of the proposed co-existence mechanisms;
- directed officials to report back to the Cabinet Policy Committee (POL) by 31 July 2003 on the funding implications of the further work referred to in paragraph 12, in the context of the wider review of ERMA capability;
- directed officials from MAF and MfE to report back to POL by 31 October 2004, on any policy implications arising from the work referred to in paragraph 12;

#### **Publication of decisions**

- agreed to release publicly:
  - 15.1 the above decisions; and
  - the Report on Economic Risks and Opportunities from the Release of Genetically Modified Organisms in New Zealand, subject to minor editorial changes;

#### Consultation

noted that the Minister indicates that consultation is not required with the government caucuses or with other parties represented in Parliament.

Sue Sharp Secretary

Reference: POL (03) 77

Salley

#### Present:

Rt Hon Helen Clark (Chair)

Hon Dr Michael Cullen

Hon Jim Anderton

Hon Steve Maharey

Hon Phil Goff

Hon Annette King

Hon Jim Sutton

Hon Trevor Mallard

Hon Pete Hodgson

Hon Margaret Wilson

Hon Parekura Horomia

Hon Lianne Dalziel

Hon Mark Burton

Hon Paul Swain

Hon Marian Hobbs

Hon Rick Barker

#### Copies to:

Cabinet Policy Committee

Chief Executive, DPMC

Mary Anne Thompson, DPMC

Secretary to the Treasury

Chief Executive, Ministry of Economic Development

Secretary of Foreign Affairs and Trade

Director-General, Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (Agriculture)

State Services Commissioner

Chief Executive, Ministry of Research, Science and Technology

Chief Executive, Te Puni Kokiri

Minister for the Environment

Secretary for the Environment

Minister of Conservation

Director-General of Conservation

#### Officials present from:

Office of the Prime Minister

Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet

