

# Public Sector Financial Management Capability

Chief Finance Officer
Supplementary Tables

[August 2008]



Email: umr@umr.co.nz www.umr.co.nz

WELLINGTON

3 Collina Terrace Thorndon WELLING TON 6011 NEW ZEALAND Tel: +64 4 473 1061 Fax: +64 4 472 3501 AUC KLAND

11 Earle Street Pamell AUC KLAND 1052 NEW ZEALAND Tel: +64 9 373 8700 Fax: +64 9 373 8704 SYDNEY

Level One, Suite 105 332-342 Oxford Street SYDNEY NSW 2022 AUSTRALIA Tel: +61 2 9386 1622 Fax: +61 2 9386 1633

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CONTEXTUAL INFORMATION MAKING - CFOs	
STAFFING: FINANCE DEPARTMENT (CURRENT ACTUAL NUMBER) - CFOs	12
STAFFING: FINANCE DEPARTMENT (CURRENT COMPLEMENT) - CFOs	
STAFFING: FINANCE FUNCTION (NUMER OF CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS) - CFOs	
STAFFING: FINANCE FUNCTION (NUMER OF ASSOCIATE CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS) - CFOs	
STAFFING: FINANCE FUNCTION (NUMER OF ACCOUNTING GRADUATE/ PROVISIONAL MEMBER) - CFOs	
STAFFING: FINANCE FUNCTION (NUMER OF ACCOUNTING TECHNICIANS) - CFOs	17
STAFFING: FINANCE FUNCTION (NUMER OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS (CPA)) - CFOs	
BUDGET HOLDERS - CFOs	19
BUDGET HOLDERS FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITIES - CFOs	
FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM OUTSOURCED - CFOs	
FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM USED - CFOs	
FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM: MODULES OPERATED - CFOs	
FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM: LIFE-CYCLE - CFOs	
INTEGRATED ENTERPRISE RESOURCES/PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SOFTWARE – CFOs	
FMIS: LIFE-CYCLE – CFOs	
AUDIT COMMITTEES - CFOs	
AUDIT COMMITTEES CHAIRED BY WHOM - CFOs	
SENIOR MANAGEMENT USE OF INFORMATION - CFOs	
NON-DEPARTMENTAL FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT – CFOs	30
FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT OF NON-DEPARTMENTAL TRANSACTIONS REPORTED AND CONSIDERED AS A REGULAR ITEM AT SENIOR	0.4
MANAGEMENT LEVEL – CFOs	
ACCOUNTABILITY TO MINISTERS - CFOs	32
FREQUENCY OF CFO MEETING WITH THE MINISTER TO DISCUSS THE FINANCIAL POSITION OR FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF THE	0-
DEPARTMENT - CFOs	
USE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS - CFOs	
FINANCIAL RISKS - CFOs	
IMPROVING FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT - CFOs	
OVERSIGHT OF DEPARTMENTAL RESOURCES - CFOs	
TIMELINESS OF INFORMATION - CFOs	
STAGES OF DEVELOPMENT OF COSTING SYSTEMS - CFOs	ხ/

RATING OF DEPARTMENT'S COSTING SYSTEM - CFOs	68
DEGREE OF INTEGRATION OF SYSTEMS	72
REPORTING OPERATIONAL AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE TO SENIOR MANAGEMENT TEAM	74
FREQUENCY OF THE FOLLOWING ASPECTS OF FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE REPORTED TO SENIOR MANAGEMENT	-
TEAM - CFOs	75
MANAGEMENT REPORTS ROUTINELY INCORPORATE FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF THE CROWN ENTITIES THE DEPARTMENT IS	
RESPONSIBLE FOR MONITORING - CFOs	
ISSUES FACED WHEN FINALISING YEAR-END FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - CFOs	86
MATCHING EXPENDITURE MORE CLOSELY TO SERVICE NEEDS: COMMON CRITICISMS OF BUDGETING - CFOs	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT THE FINANCE DIVISION HAS ON THE FOLLOWING ELEMENTS - CFOs	.101
FREQUENCY OF HAVING THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION INCLUDED IN SUBMISSIONS TO SENIOR MANAGEMENT TEAM FOR POLICY	
PROPOSALS & KEY OPERATING DECISIONS - CFOs	
LIKELIHOOD OF THE FOLLOWING FACTORS LEADING TO ACTUAL VARIANCES IN DEPARTMENT'S FORECASTS - CFOs	.115
BASIS FOR ALLOCATING CONTINGENCY AMOUNTS	
IDENTIFYING AND USING SAVINGS (WITHIN A YEAR) - CEOs	
IDENTIFYING AND USING SAVINGS (OVER A MULTI-YEAR PERIOD) - CEOs	
LEVEL OF CONTRIBUTION YOUR FINANCE FUNCTION MAKES TO DECISIONS IN THE FOLLOWING AREAS - CFOs	
COLLABORATION BETWEEN FINANCE FUNCTION AND THE FOLLOWING BUSINESS FUNCTIONS - CFOs	.145
STRENGTH OF FINANCE FUNCTION OF YOUR DEPARTMENT IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES - CFOs	.148
EFFECTIVENESS OF THE FOLLOWING TECHNOLOGIES IN DELIVERING TIMELY INFORMATION - CFOs	.161
STAGE OF INITIATIVES - CFOs	
IMPORTANCE OF THE FOLLOWING BARRIERS TO IMPROVING FINANCE MANAGEMENT - CFOs	
DESIRABILITY FOR CENTRAL AGENCIES TO GIVE GREATER PRIORITY TO THE FOLLOWING AREAS - CFOs	. 182
DESIRABILITY FOR GREATER CENTRAL AGENCIES GUIDANCE AND SUPPORT IN THE FOLLOWING SPECIFIC AREAS OF FINANCIAL	
MANAGEMENT - CFOs	.188

			Strategic	Planning	
				Other	
			Finance	corporate	Operating
		Base	division	division	division
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	16.7%	83.3%	19.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	100.0%	37.5%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	75.0%	25.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	100.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	66.7%	33.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	87.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	80.0%	.0%

		Capital Asset Planning							
			Сарнаі АЗЗ	Other					
		Base	Finance division	corporate division	Operating division				
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %				
All CFOs		42	83.3%	23.8%	16.7%				
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	100.0%	.0%	25.0%				
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	100.0%	50.0%	25.0%				
	Large Operational Departments	5	80.0%	40.0%	20.0%				
	Small Operational Departments	12	83.3%	16.7%	16.7%				
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	87.5%	25.0%	.0%				
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%				

			Budg	eting	
		Base	Finance division	Other corporate division	Operating division
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	95.2%	7.1%	4.8%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	100.0%	25.0%	12.5%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	91.7%	.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	80.0%	20.0%	.0%

			Forec	asting	
		Base	Finance division	Other corporate division	Operating division
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	97.6%	14.3%	7.1%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	100.0%	50.0%	25.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	100.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	100.0%	.0%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	80.0%	20.0%	.0%

					1
		Ope	rational perfo	rmance repo	rting
		Base	Finance division	Other corporate division	Operating division
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	45.2%	52.4%	23.8%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	75.0%	37.5%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	75.0%	50.0%	25.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	60.0%	20.0%	40.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	50.0%	58.3%	16.7%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	62.5%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%

			Interna	al audit	
		Base	Finance division	Other corporate division	Operating division
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	26.2%	61.9%	21.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	87.5%	25.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	80.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	33.3%	58.3%	33.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	37.5%	25.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	80.0%	.0%

		Finance related projects (e.g. value-for-money excercises)							
			Finance	Other corporate	Operating				
		Base	division	division	division				
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %				
All CFOs		42	85.7%	23.8%	11.9%				
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	100.0%	37.5%	12.5%				
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	100.0%	25.0%	25.0%				
	Large Operational Departments	5	80.0%	40.0%	.0%				
	Small Operational Departments	12	75.0%	16.7%	8.3%				
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	87.5%	12.5%	25.0%				
	Small Policy Ministries	5	80.0%	20.0%	.0%				

		Accountabi	lity Documen Annual F	•	(e.g. Sols,
				Other	
		Base	Finance division	corporate division	Operating division
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	61.9%	76.2%	9.5%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	87.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	100.0%	50.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	80.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	66.7%	75.0%	33.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	87.5%	75.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	80.0%	.0%

# STAFFING: FINANCE DEPARTMENT (CURRENT ACTUAL NUMBER) - CFOs

How many staff are in the finance department – current actual number?

							How m	any staff are	in the finance	division - Cu	rrent actual r	number					
		Base	Zero	1-3	4-6	7-9	10-12	13-15	16-18	19-21	22-24	25-27	28-30	34-36	37-39	40-42	100 or more
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %					
All CFOs		42	4.8%	16.7%	11.9%	11.9%	11.9%	4.8%	9.5%	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	4.8%	2.4%	2.4%	4.8%	7.1%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	16.7%	25.0%	.0%	8.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

# STAFFING: FINANCE DEPARTMENT (CURRENT COMPLEMENT) - CFOs

How many staff are in the finance department?

			Current complement												
		Base	Zero	1-3	4-6	7-9	10-12	13-15	16-18	22-24	31-33	34-36	40-42	46-48	100 or more
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	2.4%	16.7%	16.7%	9.5%	11.9%	2.4%	14.3%	4.8%	2.4%	4.8%	4.8%	2.4%	7.1%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%	25.0%	.0%	8.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

# STAFFING: FINANCE FUNCTION (NUMER OF CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS) - CFOs

What numbers of staff involved in the finance function have the following professional qualifications?

		What n	umbers of sta	aff involved in	the finance	function have	- Chartered	Accountant (I	VZICA)
		Base	Zero	1-3	4-6	7-9	16-18	22-24	28-30
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	9.5%	45.2%	26.2%	7.1%	7.1%	2.4%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	.0%	12.5%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	58.3%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	75.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

# STAFFING: FINANCE FUNCTION (NUMER OF ASSOCIATE CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS) - CFOs

What numbers of staff involved in the finance function have the following professional qualifications?

		Associa	te Chartered	Accountant (	NZICA)
		Base	Zero	1-3	4-6
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	76.2%	21.4%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	62.5%	37.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	75.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	83.3%	16.7%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	87.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%

# STAFFING: FINANCE FUNCTION (NUMER OF ACCOUNTING GRADUATE/ PROVISIONAL MEMBER) - CFOs

What numbers of staff involved in the finance function have the following professional qualifications?

		A	ccounting gra	duate / Provi	sional membe	er
		Base	Zero	1-3	4-6	10-12
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	47.6%	40.5%	7.1%	4.8%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	37.5%	.0%	25.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	50.0%	33.3%	16.7%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	62.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

# STAFFING: FINANCE FUNCTION (NUMER OF ACCOUNTING TECHNICIANS) - CFOs

What numbers of staff involved in the finance function have the following professional qualifications?

		Accountir	ng Technician	(NZICA)
		Base	Zero	1-3
		Count	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	78.6%	21.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	50.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	75.0%	25.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	80.0%	20.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	91.7%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	75.0%	25.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	100.0%	.0%

# STAFFING: FINANCE FUNCTION (NUMER OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS (CPA)) - CFOs

What numbers of staff involved in the finance function have the following professional qualifications?

		Certified P	ublic Accoun	tant (CPA)
		Base	Zero	1-3
		Count	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	95.2%	4.8%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	87.5%	12.5%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	100.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	100.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	100.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	87.5%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	100.0%	.0%

#### **BUDGET HOLDERS - CFOs**

		_	low many bud	dget holders	does the depa	artment have	?
		Base	0-20	21-50	51-100	101-250	Over 250
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	28.6%	31.0%	7.1%	14.3%	19.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	12.5%	37.5%	50.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	60.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	33.3%	50.0%	8.3%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

#### **BUDGET HOLDERS FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITIES - CFOs**

		In carrying	out their financi	al responsibilities,	are budget hold	ders mainly
		Base	Supported directly from the finance function	Supported by an administrative unit, and indirectly from a finance function	Supported from within their cost centres	Other
	+	Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	57.1%	21.4%	11.9%	9.5%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	66.7%	16.7%	8.3%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	62.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

#### FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM OUTSOURCED - CFOs

		Do you outsource your financial management information system?				
		Base	Yes	No		
		Count	Row N %	Row N %		
All CFOs		42	9.5%	90.5%		
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	100.0%		
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	100.0%		
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	100.0%		
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	83.3%		
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	87.5%		
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	80.0%		

#### FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM USED - CFOs

		All CFOs			CFOs - Departm	ental Groupings		
			Large Operational Departments with significant non-department al activity	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-department al activity	Large Operational Departments	Small Operational Departments	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-department al activity	Small Policy Ministries
What is your	Base	42	8	4	5	12	8	5
main FMIS	Accredo	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%
software	Aderant CLO Practice	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	.0%	.0%
application?	Epicor/ Epicor Financials	11.9%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	33.3%	.0%	.0%
	Epicor and time Disciple	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Excel for budget	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	.0%	.0%
	Finance One	7.1%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Kypera	4.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	20.0%
	Kypera FMIS, ISIS Time	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	.0%	.0%
	Microsoft Dynamics -	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	.0%	.0%
	Microsoft Dynamics	2.4%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	MYOB Solution 6	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%
	Navision	4.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.7%	.0%	.0%
	Oracle/ Oracle Financials	7.1%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	PeopleSoft	2.4%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	QSP	2.4%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	QSP for financials and	2.4%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	SAP/SAP ERP	11.9%	12.5%	.0%	80.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	SUN Financials and	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Sun systems/ SUN v5.1	7.1%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	20.0%
	Technology One	7.1%	12.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Outsourced	9.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.7%	12.5%	20.0%

#### FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM: MODULES OPERATED - CFOs

					What n	nodules do yo	ou operate?			
								Core financials		
			Time and cost	Fixed Asset	Purchasing		Budgeting/	– GL/AP/	Project	
		Base	system	Ledger	Order System	Payroll	Planning	AR/CM	management	Other
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		38	28.9%	97.4%	34.2%	18.4%	55.3%	50.0%	5.3%	15.8%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	100.0%	62.5%	25.0%	62.5%	62.5%	25.0%	50.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	50.0%	100.0%	50.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	100.0%	40.0%	40.0%	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	20.0%
	Small Operational Departments	10	50.0%	90.0%	30.0%	20.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	7	14.3%	100.0%	14.3%	.0%	71.4%	28.6%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	4	.0%	100.0%	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%

#### FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM: LIFE-CYCLE - CFOs

		At what st	tage of the life-cy	cle is your FMIS	system?
		Base	At the implementati on stage, or still bedding down	At mid-cycle, being maintained through updates	Near the end of its life, likely to be replaced or undergo major upgrade
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		38	15.8%	55.3%	28.9%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	37.5%	25.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	75.0%	25.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	60.0%	40.0%
	Small Operational Departments	10	.0%	70.0%	30.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	7	14.3%	57.1%	28.6%
	Small Policy Ministries	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%

#### INTEGRATED ENTERPRISE RESOURCES/PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SOFTWARE - CFOs

		enterprise mana intell	operate interesource / pergement (busigence) softw	rformance iness are?
		Base	Yes	No
All OFO		Count	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs	•	42	11.9%	88.1%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	75.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	75.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	60.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	100.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	100.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	100.0%

FMIS: LIFE-CYCLE - CFOs

			stage of the life se resource / pe syst	rformance mana	~
		Base	At the implementati on stage, or still bedding down	At mid-cycle, being maintained through updates	Near the end of its life, likely to be replaced or undergo major upgrade
	•	Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		5	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	2	50.0%	50.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	Large Operational Departments	2	50.0%	50.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	0	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	0	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	0	.0%	.0%	.0%

#### **AUDIT COMMITTEES - CFOs**

		How many fu	Il meetings of t	he Departments	Audit Comm	ittee (or equi	valent) were	held during th	ne financial ye	ear ending 30	June 2007?
			No Audit	Audit Committee							
		Base	committee	did not meet	1	2	3	4	5	6	Other
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	31.0%	.0%	2.4%	4.8%	14.3%	31.0%	2.4%	4.8%	9.5%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	87.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	25.0%	.0%	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	25.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	62.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	80.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

#### **AUDIT COMMITTEES CHAIRED BY WHOM - CFOs**

			Is the Depart	ments Audit	Committee ch	naired by?	
		Base	Independent member	Chief Executive	Deputy Chief Executive	Other staff member	Not applicable
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	45.2%	16.7%	2.4%	4.8%	31.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	50.0%	8.3%	.0%	8.3%	33.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	62.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	80.0%

#### **SENIOR MANAGEMENT USE OF INFORMATION - CFOs**

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means very satisfied and 5 means very dissatisfied, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you that the department has the necessary financial and operational performance information to adequately inform and manage change decisions over the next three years?

				e information		y inform and	ne necessary fina manage change	
		Base	1 Very satisfied	2	3	4	5 Very dissatisfied	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	2.4%	38.1%	31.0%	19.0%	4.8%	4.8%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	12.5%	62.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	33.3%	50.0%	.0%	8.3%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	62.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%

#### NON-DEPARTMENTAL FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT - CFOs

		Which of		ements best desc on entities it is res		nt by your departmoring?	ent of the
		Base	The department oversight of Crown entities is essentially to respond to issues as	The department receives regular information on the financial and operational performance of the entities	The department's knowledge and relationship with the Crown entity is such that it is cognisant of financial risks	Not applicable: the Department has no responsibility for monitoring Crown entities	Other
		Count	they arise.  Row N %	it monitors, an	to eac	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	.0%	21.4%	11.9%	59.5%	7.1%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	37.5%	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	25.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	8.3%	.0%	91.7%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%

# FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT OF NON-DEPARTMENTAL TRANSACTIONS REPORTED AND CONSIDERED AS A REGULAR ITEM AT SENIOR MANAGEMENT LEVEL – CFOs

		transaction	s reported an	ent of non-dep d considered anagement le	as a regular
		Base	Yes	No	Not applicable
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	50.0%	19.0%	31.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	87.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	16.7%	66.7%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	62.5%	37.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means too much over-reporting, 3 means just right and 5 means too much under-reporting, how would you rate the importance of the department in reporting on financial matters to Ministers against the principles listed below that cabinet has directed should be adhered to when preparing this information?

No surprises

					No surprises			
			1 Too much				5 Too much	
		Base	over reporting	2	3 Just right	4	under reporting	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	.0%	4.8%	78.6%	7.1%	2.4%	7.1%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	62.5%	37.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	8.3%	75.0%	.0%	8.3%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	40.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means too much over-reporting, 3 means just right and 5 means too much under-reporting, how would you rate the importance of the department in reporting on financial matters to Ministers against the principles listed below that cabinet has directed should be adhered to when preparing this information?

Linked to other reporting

				Linked	d to other rep	orting		
			1 Too much				5 Too much	
		Base	over reporting	2	3 Just right	4	under reporting	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	.0%	2.4%	61.9%	23.8%	.0%	11.9%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	62.5%	37.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	50.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	.0%	66.7%	25.0%	.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	87.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	40.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means too much over-reporting, 3 means just right and 5 means too much under-reporting, how would you rate the importance of the department in reporting on financial matters to Ministers against the principles listed below that cabinet has directed should be adhered to when preparing this information?

#### Materiality

					Materiality			
			1 Too much				5 Too much	
		Base	over	2	3 Just	4	under	Don't know
		Count	reporting Row N %	Row N %	right Row N %	Row N %	reporting Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs	1.	42	4.8%	9.5%	71.4%	4.8%	2.4%	7.1%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	.0%	75.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	16.7%	66.7%	.0%	8.3%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	40.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means too much over-reporting, 3 means just right and 5 means too much under-reporting, how would you rate the importance of the department in reporting on financial matters to Ministers against the principles listed below that cabinet has directed should be adhered to when preparing this information?

#### Forward looking

				Fo	orward Lookir	ng		
			1 Too much over		3 Just		5 Too much under	Don't
		Base	reporting	2	right	4	reporting	know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	.0%	2.4%	31.0%	50.0%	9.5%	7.1%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	.0%	75.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	.0%	75.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	.0%	50.0%	33.3%	8.3%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	.0%	.0%	60.0%	.0%	40.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means too much over-reporting, 3 means just right and 5 means too much under-reporting, how would you rate the importance of the department in reporting on financial matters to Ministers against the principles listed below that cabinet has directed should be adhered to when preparing this information?

#### Exceptions Basis

				Ex	ceptions Bas	sis		
			1 Too much				5 Too much	
		Base	over reporting	2	3 Just right	4	under reporting	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	.0%	2.4%	71.4%	16.7%	2.4%	7.1%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	87.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	.0%	66.7%	16.7%	8.3%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	87.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	40.0%

# FREQUENCY OF CFO MEETING WITH THE MINISTER TO DISCUSS THE FINANCIAL POSITION OR FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF THE DEPARTMENT - CFOs

		How often		ef financial off n or financial				e financial
		Base	Monthly	Every two months	Quarterly	Less frequently	Never	Other
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	7.1%	.0%	4.8%	40.5%	21.4%	26.2%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	.0%	.0%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	.0%	62.5%	25.0%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	.0%	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%

		Confirm or	change expe	ectation as to	whether finar	ncial and perf	ormance targ	jets will be
		Base	1 To a great extent	2	3	4	5 To a little or no extent	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	23.8%	52.4%	7.1%	11.9%	4.8%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	25.0%	.0%	37.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	75.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	33.3%	50.0%	8.3%	.0%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	75.0%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%

		Confi	rm or change		s as to whether		onstraints (su	ch as
		Base	1 To a great extent	2	3	4	5 To a little or no extent	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	47.6%	40.5%	9.5%	2.4%	.0%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	37.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	58.3%	33.3%	8.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

			Reinforce a	culture of cos	t consciousne	ess and wast	e avoidance	
		Base	1 To a great extent	2	3	4	5 To a little or no extent	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	11.9%	38.1%	31.0%	11.9%	2.4%	4.8%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	41.7%	25.0%	.0%	8.3%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	62.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%

			Identify oppo	rtunities for r	eprioritisation	to better me	et objectives	
		Base	1 To a great extent	2	3	4	5 To a little or no extent	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	21.4%	28.6%	23.8%	19.0%	7.1%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	60.0%	.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	8.3%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

			Iden	tify opportunit	ies for makin	g efficiency g	ains	
		Base	1 To a great extent	2	3	4	5 To a little or no extent	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	11.9%	31.0%	21.4%	21.4%	9.5%	4.8%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	37.5%	.0%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	25.0%	33.3%	16.7%	8.3%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means very high and 5 means very low, how would you rate these financial risks to your department (including any non-departmental items you administer) over the next three years?

			Press	ure from mini	sters to chan	ge plans/dire	ctions	
		Base	1 Very high	2	3	4	5 Very low	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	4.8%	31.0%	26.2%	7.1%	19.0%	11.9%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	62.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	40.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means very high and 5 means very low, how would you rate these financial risks to your department (including any non-departmental items you administer) over the next three years?

				Manageme	nt of conflictir	ng priorities		
		Base	1 Very high	2	3	4	5 Very low	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	14.3%	33.3%	26.2%	11.9%	9.5%	4.8%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	25.0%	37.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	80.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	33.3%	25.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	62.5%	.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means very high and 5 means very low, how would you rate these financial risks to your department (including any non-departmental items you administer) over the next three years?

		In	consistency a	and unpredict	ability of plan	ning and bud	geting proces	SS
		Base	1 Very high	2	3	4	5 Very low	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	4.8%	19.0%	28.6%	23.8%	21.4%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	62.5%	.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	16.7%	.0%	41.7%	33.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means very high and 5 means very low, how would you rate these financial risks to your department (including any non-departmental items you administer) over the next three years?

				Escala	ting personne	l costs		
		Base	1 Very high	2	3	4	5 Very low	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	45.2%	31.0%	16.7%	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	75.0%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	41.7%	16.7%	41.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means very high and 5 means very low, how would you rate these financial risks to your department (including any non-departmental items you administer) over the next three years?

				Variability of	specific expe	nditure items		
		Base	1 Very high	2	3	4	5 Very low	Don't know
	_	Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	4.8%	26.2%	33.3%	23.8%	9.5%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	.0%	.0%	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means very high and 5 means very low, how would you rate these financial risks to your department (including any non-departmental items you administer) over the next three years?

		U	ncertainty ov	er effectivene	ess and efficie	ency of gener	al expenditur	е
		Base	1 Very high	2	3	4	5 Very low	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	4.8%	16.7%	38.1%	23.8%	14.3%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	37.5%	25.0%	37.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means very high and 5 means very low, how would you rate these financial risks to your department (including any non-departmental items you administer) over the next three years?

			Possib	e overspend	due to one-o	ff/unexpected	devent	
		Base	1 Very high	2	3	4	5 Very low	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	4.8%	19.0%	21.4%	26.2%	26.2%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means very high and 5 means very low, how would you rate these financial risks to your department (including any non-departmental items you administer) over the next three years?

				Inability to r	meet producti	vity targets		
		Base	1 Very high	2	3	4	5 Very low	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	2.4%	7.1%	40.5%	28.6%	11.9%	9.5%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	12.5%	62.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	8.3%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means very high and 5 means very low, how would you rate these financial risks to your department (including any non-departmental items you administer) over the next three years?

				Reduce	d third party	income		
		Base	1 Very high	2	3	4	5 Very low	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	2.4%	11.9%	21.4%	23.8%	38.1%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	60.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	80.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means very high and 5 means very low, how would you rate these financial risks to your department (including any non-departmental items you administer) over the next three years?

		Co	ontrol/use of i	noney grante	d to third par	ties/action of	funding bodie	es
		Base	1 Very high	2	3	4	5 Very low	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	.0%	2.4%	16.7%	16.7%	47.6%	16.7%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	60.0%	40.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	.0%	8.3%	.0%	75.0%	16.7%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	60.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means very high and 5 means very low, how would you rate these financial risks to your department (including any non-departmental items you administer) over the next three years?

				Changes ir	n accounting	treatments		
		Base	1 Very high	2	3	4	5 Very low	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	.0%	9.5%	21.4%	33.3%	31.0%	4.8%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	.0%	75.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	60.0%	20.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	16.7%	16.7%	58.3%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	12.5%	12.5%	75.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%

#### **IMPROVING FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT - CFOs**

		How often does the Department review the costs of providing its main services and programmes (for example to examine whether alternative ways of providing the service may be more efficient and effective)?							
		Base	Monthly	Quarterly	Annually	Rolling Programme	Never	Other	
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
All CFOs		42	19.0%	11.9%	19.0%	21.4%	4.8%	23.8%	
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	50.0%	
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%	40.0%	
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	25.0%	16.7%	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means almost always accurate and 5 means regular problems with data quality, how would you rate the quality of the following sources of primary data from which in-year financial and operational performance reports are produced?

				Expenditu	re/ Accruals/	Creditors		
		Base	1 Almost always accurate	2	3	4	5 Regular problems with data quality	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	42.9%	33.3%	16.7%	4.8%	2.4%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	58.3%	25.0%	8.3%	.0%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means almost always accurate and 5 means regular problems with data quality, how would you rate the quality of the following sources of primary data from which in-year financial and operational performance reports are produced?

				Re	evenue/ Debto	ors		
		Base	1 Almost always accurate	2	3	4	5 Regular problems with data quality	Don't know
	_	Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	64.3%	28.6%	4.8%	2.4%	.0%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	37.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	75.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	66.7%	25.0%	.0%	8.3%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	87.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means almost always accurate and 5 means regular problems with data quality, how would you rate the quality of the following sources of primary data from which in-year financial and operational performance reports are produced?

				Propert	y Plant & Equ	uipment		
		Base	1 Almost always accurate	2	3	4	5 Regular problems with data quality	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	47.6%	31.0%	7.1%	9.5%	4.8%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	50.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	58.3%	33.3%	.0%	8.3%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	37.5%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means almost always accurate and 5 means regular problems with data quality, how would you rate the quality of the following sources of primary data from which in-year financial and operational performance reports are produced?

					Costing data			
		Base	1 Almost always accurate	2	3	4	5 Regular problems with data quality	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	11.9%	40.5%	33.3%	9.5%	2.4%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	50.0%	37.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	25.0%	41.7%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means almost always accurate and 5 means regular problems with data quality, how would you rate the quality of the following sources of primary data from which in-year financial and operational performance reports are produced?

				Pa	ayroll / HR da	ıta		
		Base	1 Almost always accurate	2	3	4	5 Regular problems with data quality	Don't know
	_	Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	42.9%	31.0%	16.7%	4.8%	4.8%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	80.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	41.7%	33.3%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	62.5%	12.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means almost always accurate and 5 means regular problems with data quality, how would you rate the quality of the following sources of primary data from which in-year financial and operational performance reports are produced?

				Operational	Performance	Information		
		Base	1 Almost always accurate	2	3	4	5 Regular problems with data quality	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	16.7%	40.5%	21.4%	7.1%	2.4%	11.9%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	33.3%	25.0%	8.3%	.0%	16.7%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%

				Expendit	ure/ Accruals	/ Creditors		
		Base	1 Backlogs are rare and reports are invariably based on up-to-date data	2	3	4	5 Backlogs are frequent and reports are often based on incomplete or estimated data	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	45.2%	38.1%	9.5%	7.1%	.0%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	41.7%	50.0%	.0%	8.3%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	37.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	80.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

				R	evenue/ Debt	ors		
		Base	1 Backlogs are rare and reports are invariably based on up-to-date data	2	3	4	5 Backlogs are frequent and reports are often based on incomplete or estimated data	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	64.3%	26.2%	4.8%	4.8%	.0%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	66.7%	25.0%	.0%	8.3%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	87.5%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

				Proper	ty Plant & Eq	uipment		
		Base	1 Backlogs are rare and reports are invariably based on up-to-date data	2	3	4	5 Backlogs are frequent and reports are often based on incomplete or estimated data	Don't know
	·	Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	38.1%	33.3%	16.7%	4.8%	7.1%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	50.0%	33.3%	8.3%	.0%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	37.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%

					Costing data	1		
		Base	1 Backlogs are rare and reports are invariably based on up-to-date data	2	3	4	5 Backlogs are frequent and reports are often based on incomplete or estimated data	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	21.4%	33.3%	28.6%	9.5%	4.8%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	62.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	25.0%	41.7%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	20.0%	.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%

				Р	ayroll / HR da	ata		
		Base	1 Backlogs are rare and reports are invariably based on up-to-date data	2	3	4	5 Backlogs are frequent and reports are often based on incomplete or estimated data	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	50.0%	28.6%	11.9%	4.8%	4.8%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	12.5%	25.0%	.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	41.7%	41.7%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	62.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%

				Operationa	l Performance	e Information		
		Base	1 Backlogs are rare and reports are invariably based on up-to-date data	2	3	4	5 Backlogs are frequent and reports are often based on incomplete or estimated data	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	16.7%	26.2%	33.3%	9.5%	2.4%	11.9%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	37.5%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	25.0%	33.3%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	16.7%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

#### STAGES OF DEVELOPMENT OF COSTING SYSTEMS - CFOs

			he above mo velopment of depart	costing syste	
		Base	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	59.5%	33.3%	7.1%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	50.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	50.0%	50.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	60.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	58.3%	33.3%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	75.0%	12.5%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	80.0%	.0%	20.0%

# **RATING OF DEPARTMENT'S COSTING SYSTEM - CFOs**

Considering your response to Q19 and using a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means very high and 5 means very low, how would you rate your department's costing system in terms of the following?

					Usefulness			
		Base	1 Very high	2	3	4	5 Very low	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	4.8%	26.2%	33.3%	21.4%	14.3%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	41.7%	33.3%	8.3%	16.7%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	12.5%	62.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%

# **RATING OF DEPARTMENT'S COSTING SYSTEM - CFOs**

Considering your response to Q19 and using a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means very high and 5 means very low, how would you rate your department's costing system in terms of the following?

					Relevance			
		Base	1 Very high	2	3	4	5 Very low	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	11.9%	19.0%	38.1%	14.3%	16.7%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	25.0%	41.7%	16.7%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%

# **RATING OF DEPARTMENT'S COSTING SYSTEM - CFOs**

Considering your response to Q19 and using a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means very high and 5 means very low, how would you rate your department's costing system in terms of the following?

					Robustness			
		Base	1 Very high	2	3	4	5 Very low	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	11.9%	28.6%	31.0%	7.1%	21.4%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	.0%	60.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	41.7%	33.3%	8.3%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%

#### STAGES OF DEVELOPMENT OF COSTING SYSTEMS CONTINUED - CFOs

			In-year mana	agement repo	orts on financial	performance are	e?
		Base	Cash based only	Input based (accrual) only	Input based with supplement ary class of output level information	Output class based, with supplementa ry input information	At output level, with supplement ary class of output and input information
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	.0%	9.5%	35.7%	28.6%	26.2%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	37.5%	12.5%	50.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	8.3%	41.7%	33.3%	16.7%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	40.0%	.0%	40.0%	20.0%

# **DEGREE OF INTEGRATION OF SYSTEMS**

			e of integration o	tatements best de f systems used to nent reports?	
		Base	Systems are fully integrated. All reports are directly from primary data without manual intervention (for example the us	Systems are integrated in the main. Most reports are automated but some still require some manual intervention	There is a low level of integration. A significant degree of manual intervention is needed to produce financial
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	reports Row N %
All CFOs		42	16.7%	52.4%	31.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	62.5%	25.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	50.0%	50.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	50.0%	33.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	37.5%	50.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	60.0%	40.0%

#### STAGES OF DEVELOPMENT OF COSTING SYSTEMS CONTINUED - CFOs

		On avera	-		s after the peor		-	nt reports
		Base	0-2 days	3-5 days	6-10 days (second week)	11-15 days (third week)	16-20 days (fourth week)	Later
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	.0%	21.4%	33.3%	35.7%	9.5%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	33.3%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	.0%	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%

#### REPORTING OPERATIONAL AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE TO SENIOR MANAGEMENT TEAM

		How is	•	nancial performan	•	esenior
			Separate reports for financial performance are produced by the finance function and operational	Separate reports for financial performance are produced by the finance function and operational	The senior management team receives a single report covering both operational and financial performance	
		Base	reports by operational m	reports by another corpo	from the finance	Other
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	26.2%	28.6%	38.1%	7.1%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	41.7%	41.7%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%

		P	An analysis of	expenditure	by division or	· business un	it
			Monthly or more	·	Two or three times per		
		Base	frequently	Quarterly	year	Annually	Never
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	88.1%	2.4%	.0%	2.4%	7.1%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	75.0%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	16.7%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	87.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Д	summary of	year-to-date	expenditure a	against budge	et
			Monthly or more		Two or three times per		
		Base	frequently	Quarterly	year	Annually	Never
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	97.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	87.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		An u	pdated projec	tion of year-e	end expenditu	ıre against bu	ıdget
		Base	Monthly or more frequently	Quarterly	Two or three times per year	Annually	Never
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	57.1%	21.4%	19.0%	.0%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	75.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	50.0%	33.3%	8.3%	.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	62.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	80.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

				Balance Shee	et information	1	
			Monthly or more		Two or three times per		
		Base	frequently	Quarterly	year	Annually	Never
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	42.9%	2.4%	4.8%	28.6%	21.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	.0%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	50.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	25.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	58.3%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	16.7%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	37.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%

			Achievement	of kev opera	tional perforn	nance targets	,
		Base	Monthly or more frequently	Quarterly	Two or three times per year	Annually	Never
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	42.9%	23.8%	11.9%	14.3%	7.1%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	16.7%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	40.0%	20.0%

				Cash flow i	nformation		
		Base	Monthly or more frequently	Quarterly	Two or three times per year	Annually	Never
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	23.8%	4.8%	9.5%	33.3%	28.6%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	37.5%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	60.0%	.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	25.0%	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	25.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	25.0%	37.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	.0%	.0%	60.0%	20.0%

		An analysis	of expenditur	e by operation	nal performa	nce target or	key outputs
			Monthly or more		Two or three times per		
		Base	frequently	Quarterly	year	Annually	Never
	_	Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	45.2%	9.5%	14.3%	16.7%	14.3%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	.0%	50.0%	12.5%	12.5%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	60.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	66.7%	8.3%	.0%	8.3%	16.7%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	25.0%	.0%	25.0%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	.0%	.0%	40.0%	40.0%

		A specifi	c report on th	e key financia	al risks identif	ied by the de	partment
			Monthly or more		Two or three times per		
		Base	frequently	Quarterly	year	Annually	Never
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	35.7%	11.9%	16.7%	9.5%	26.2%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	12.5%	50.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	50.0%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	41.7%	8.3%	16.7%	25.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	.0%	25.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	80.0%

				Reports or	outcomes		
			Monthly or more		Two or three times per		
		Base	frequently	Quarterly	year	Annually	Never
	_	Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	11.9%	21.4%	11.9%	26.2%	28.6%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	.0%	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	40.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	25.0%	.0%	41.7%	25.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	.0%	25.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%

# MANAGEMENT REPORTS ROUTINELY INCORPORATE FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF THE CROWN ENTITIES THE DEPARTMENT IS RESPONSIBLE FOR MONITORING - CFOs

			agement reports termation of the Cro				
			Yes, financial analyses of	No, but this information is	No, the senior management		
			the Crown entities are	reported to the monitoring	team does not receive	Not applicable,	
			provided to the	team within	financial	there are no	
			senior management	the department	information on the Crown	Crown entities the	
			team, on a	and the senior	entities the	department is	
			regular (e.g.	management	department is	responsible	
		Base	quarterly,	team receive	responsibl	for monitoring	Other
411.050	_	Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs	•	42	7.1%	9.5%	16.7%	64.3%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	37.5%	37.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%

# MANAGEMENT REPORTS ROUTINELY INCORPORATE OTHER NON-DEPARTMENTAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION ON THE VOTES THE DEPARTMENT IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ADMINISTERING

		routinely	ement reports to the incorporate other on the Votes the adminis	non-departmental	l financial
		Base	Yes, the figures are reported both on an appropriation basis, and also other items such as revenues and assets administe	Yes, appropriation flows only are reported	No
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	23.8%	35.7%	40.5%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	50.0%	12.5%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	.0%	60.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	41.7%	50.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	.0%	60.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means never a problem and 5 means often a problem, to what extent are the following issues faced by your department in being able to finalise its year-end financial statements?

				Availability	of external ir	nformation		
		Base	1 Never a problem	2	3	4	5 Often a problem	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	23.8%	47.6%	16.7%	4.8%	4.8%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	37.5%	37.5%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	25.0%	58.3%	8.3%	8.3%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	62.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	60.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means never a problem and 5 means often a problem, to what extent are the following issues faced by your department in being able to finalise its year-end financial statements?

				Availability	y of internal in	formation		
		Base	1 Never a problem	2	3	4	5 Often a problem	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	26.2%	52.4%	14.3%	4.8%	2.4%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	41.7%	41.7%	.0%	16.7%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	62.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means never a problem and 5 means often a problem, to what extent are the following issues faced by your department in being able to finalise its year-end financial statements?

				Audit an	d clearance t	metable		
		Base	1 Never a problem	2	3	4	5 Often a problem	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	35.7%	38.1%	16.7%	7.1%	2.4%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	41.7%	41.7%	8.3%	8.3%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means never a problem and 5 means often a problem, to what extent are the following issues faced by your department in being able to finalise its year-end financial statements?

				Internal s	stems and p	rocedures		
		Base	1 Never a problem	2	3	4	5 Often a problem	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	35.7%	47.6%	11.9%	2.4%	2.4%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	62.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	58.3%	33.3%	8.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means never a problem and 5 means often a problem, to what extent are the following issues faced by your department in being able to finalise its year-end financial statements?

				Lack of	staff and exp	erience		
		Base	1 Never a problem	2	3	4	5 Often a problem	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	14.3%	52.4%	19.0%	7.1%	7.1%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	60.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	58.3%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	50.0%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means never a problem and 5 means often a problem, to what extent are the following issues faced by your department in being able to finalise its year-end financial statements?

		Change	s to internal s	tructure and	procedures a	nd external g	overnmental	changes
		Base	1 Never a problem	2	3	4	5 Often a problem	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	23.8%	47.6%	19.0%	4.8%	4.8%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means never a problem and 5 means often a problem, to what extent are the following issues faced by your department in being able to finalise its year-end financial statements?

				Resolving pr	roblems with	the accounts		
		Base	1 Never a problem	2	3	4	5 Often a problem	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	31.0%	54.8%	9.5%	4.8%	.0%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	62.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	41.7%	50.0%	8.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	62.5%	37.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means never a problem and 5 means often a problem, to what extent are the following issues faced by your department in being able to finalise its year-end financial statements?

				Cu	Iture and attit	ude		
		Base	1 Never a problem	2	3	4	5 Often a problem	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	40.5%	42.9%	7.1%	7.1%	2.4%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	41.7%	41.7%	8.3%	8.3%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	37.5%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means never a problem and 5 means often a problem, to what extent are the following issues faced by your department in being able to finalise its year-end financial statements?

			Wri	ting, proofing	and publishi	ng final accou	unts	
		Base	1 Never a problem	2	3	4	5 Often a problem	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	19.0%	57.1%	14.3%	4.8%	2.4%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	62.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	58.3%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means strongly agree and 5 means strongly disagree, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following common criticisms of budgeting as they might apply to your department?

		Our anr	nual planning		ng process tal	_	costs too mu	ch, and
		Base	1 Strongly agree	2	3	4	5 Strongly disagree	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	7.1%	9.5%	38.1%	38.1%	7.1%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	50.0%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means strongly agree and 5 means strongly disagree, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following common criticisms of budgeting as they might apply to your department?

		In our budg	eting process		ight hard to p what's in thei		esources and	d always try
		Base	1 Strongly agree	2	3	4	5 Strongly disagree	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	4.8%	40.5%	19.0%	23.8%	9.5%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	37.5%	25.0%	37.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	62.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	40.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means strongly agree and 5 means strongly disagree, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following common criticisms of budgeting as they might apply to your department?

		Our plans	_	are quickly ounstrained fror			g takes too lor needs.	ng; and we
		Base	1 Strongly agree	2	3	4	5 Strongly disagree	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	2.4%	11.9%	28.6%	45.2%	9.5%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	8.3%	25.0%	41.7%	25.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	37.5%	62.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means strongly agree and 5 means strongly disagree, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following common criticisms of budgeting as they might apply to your department?

		Our plann			zes centralise		naking and re	inforces a
		Base	1 Strongly agree	2	3	4	5 Strongly disagree	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	.0%	9.5%	28.6%	31.0%	28.6%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	80.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means strongly agree and 5 means strongly disagree, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following common criticisms of budgeting as they might apply to your department?

		Our budge		does not gene we make the			to assure mans?	anagement
		Base	1 Strongly agree	2	3	4	5 Strongly disagree	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	9.5%	21.4%	26.2%	23.8%	14.3%	4.8%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means strongly agree and 5 means strongly disagree, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following common criticisms of budgeting as they might apply to your department?

		Our budge	et process pro	oduces foreca	asts that do n	ot accurately	predict future	e results.?
			1 Strongly				5 Strongly	Don't
		Base	agree	2	3	4	disagree	know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	4.8%	26.2%	16.7%	38.1%	11.9%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	.0%	80.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	16.7%	25.0%	41.7%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%

			Converti	ng department str	ategy into budge	et strategy	
		Base	Lead role in dept	Partnership with senior management	Quality assurance role	Back office (document ation) role	Not involved
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs	-	42	16.7%	57.1%	7.1%	16.7%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	62.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	25.0%	58.3%	.0%	16.7%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	25.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	60.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%

				Allocating resourc	es at a high leve	 el	
		Base	Lead role in dept	Partnership with senior management	Quality assurance role	Back office (document ation) role	Not involved
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	9.5%	66.7%	4.8%	14.3%	4.8%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	87.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	75.0%	.0%	16.7%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%

				Evaluation of inte	rnal budget bids	3	
		Base	Lead role in dept	Partnership with senior management	Quality assurance role	Back office (document ation) role	Not involved
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	26.2%	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	33.3%	41.7%	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%

			L	iaison with Treasu	ury on budget bid	ds	
		Base	Lead role in dept	Partnership with senior management	Quality assurance role	Back office (document ation) role	Not involved
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	59.5%	19.0%	14.3%	2.4%	4.8%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	12.5%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	91.7%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%

			Pr	eparation of budg	et bids to Minist	ers	
		Base	Lead role in dept	Partnership with senior management	Quality assurance role	Back office (document ation) role	Not involved
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	33.3%	42.9%	16.7%	4.8%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	41.7%	41.7%	8.3%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%

				Preparation of fo	precast updates		
		Base	Lead role in dept	Partnership with senior management	Quality assurance role	Back office (document ation) role	Not involved
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	88.1%	7.1%	2.4%	2.4%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	91.7%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

## FREQUENCY OF HAVING THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION INCLUDED IN SUBMISSIONS TO SENIOR MANAGEMENT TEAM FOR POLICY PROPOSALS & KEY OPERATING DECISIONS - CFOs

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means always and 5 means never, how often is the following information included in submissions to the senior management team for policy proposals and key operating decisions?

			A full asses	sment of the	financial impl	ications of the	e proposals	
		Base	1 Always	2	3	4	5 Never	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	14.3%	42.9%	21.4%	14.3%	2.4%	4.8%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	41.7%	16.7%	16.7%	.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%

## FREQUENCY OF HAVING THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION INCLUDED IN SUBMISSIONS TO SENIOR MANAGEMENT TEAM FOR POLICY PROPOSALS & KEY OPERATING DECISIONS - CFOs

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means always and 5 means never, how often is the following information included in submissions to the senior management team for policy proposals and key operating decisions?

		An asses	ssment of the		d operational nothing option		implications of	of the do
		Base	1 Always	2	3	4	5 Never	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	9.5%	23.8%	38.1%	19.0%	4.8%	4.8%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	25.0%	8.3%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%

			Identific	ation of the m	nain financial	and operation	nal risks	
		Base	1 Always	2	3	4	5 Never	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	19.0%	40.5%	14.3%	16.7%	2.4%	7.1%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	50.0%	12.5%	25.0%	.0%	12.5%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	50.0%	8.3%	16.7%	.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%

		Ar	n assessmen	t of the anticip	pated impact	on operation	al performano	e
		Base	1 Always	2	3	4	5 Never	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	11.9%	38.1%	28.6%	11.9%	2.4%	7.1%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	50.0%	16.7%	8.3%	.0%	16.7%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%

		Alternative	options detail	ing comparat	tive data on e	xpected cost	s and operati	onal impact
		Base	1 Always	2	3	4	5 Never	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	4.8%	23.8%	52.4%	11.9%	2.4%	4.8%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	25.0%	50.0%	8.3%	.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%

		Confirmation	on from the fi	nance functio	n that they ha	ave verified th	ne financial as	ssessment
		Base	1 Always	2	3	4	5 Never	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	9.5%	31.0%	26.2%	19.0%	9.5%	4.8%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	50.0%	12.5%	37.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%

		Identifica			iving costs, in			er with a
		Base	1 Always	2	3	4	5 Never	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	7.1%	33.3%	28.6%	19.0%	4.8%	7.1%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	37.5%	25.0%	37.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	33.3%	16.7%	25.0%	.0%	16.7%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%

		An a	assessment o	of the likely so	cial, econom	ic and enviro	nmental impa	acts
		Base	1 Always	2	3	4	5 Never	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	2.4%	14.3%	35.7%	21.4%	16.7%	9.5%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	25.0%	16.7%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	.0%	60.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%

			Forecasting	not taking ac	count of know	vn changes/	environment	
		Base	1 Very likely	2	3	4	5 Very unlikely	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	11.9%	2.4%	28.6%	38.1%	16.7%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	12.5%	62.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	.0%	60.0%	40.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	.0%	25.0%	33.3%	16.7%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	25.0%	37.5%	37.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	60.0%	.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%

			Cu	shioning in fo	recasting aga	ainst overspe	nd	
		Base	1 Very likely	2	3	4	5 Very unlikely	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	9.5%	35.7%	16.7%	23.8%	11.9%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	.0%	80.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	33.3%	8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

			Uı	nplanned or ι	inexpected ev	vents occurrir	ng	
		Base	1 Very likely	2	3	4	5 Very unlikely	Don't know
	_	Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	14.3%	26.2%	28.6%	26.2%	2.4%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	25.0%	8.3%	25.0%	33.3%	.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	37.5%	37.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%

			Known and	accounted for	risks crystall	ising in unex	pected ways	
		Base	1 Very likely	2	3	4	5 Very unlikely	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	.0%	26.2%	45.2%	26.2%	.0%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	41.7%	.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

				Tin	ning differenc	es		
		Base	1 Very likely	2	3	4	5 Very unlikely	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	33.3%	31.0%	21.4%	11.9%	.0%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	25.0%	.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

				Forecast	contingency	unspent		
		Base	1 Very likely	2	3	4	5 Very unlikely	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	9.5%	35.7%	26.2%	14.3%	11.9%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	60.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	33.3%	8.3%	33.3%	8.3%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

				Change in	n accounting	treatment		
		Base	1 Very likely	2	3	4	5 Very unlikely	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	.0%	7.1%	19.0%	38.1%	33.3%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%	60.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	8.3%	.0%	58.3%	25.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	.0%	37.5%	62.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%

			I	naccurate Tr	easury-based	assumptions	3	
		Base	1 Very likely	2	3	4	5 Very unlikely	Don't know
	_	Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	4.8%	.0%	26.2%	35.7%	26.2%	7.1%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	.0%	12.5%	62.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	.0%	16.7%	33.3%	25.0%	16.7%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%

### **BASIS FOR ALLOCATING CONTINGENCY AMOUNTS**

			sis did you allocat internal budget h in	olders passed		e during they year	
		Base	No allocation, such amounts are managed centrally	Not applicable	Such amounts are allocated in a supplementar y budget round	Such amounts are kept available for the managers which made the savings	Allocation according to the outcome of a bidding process
	_	Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs	•	42	33.3%	16.7%	21.4%	7.1%	21.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	50.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	60.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	41.7%	25.0%	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%	.0%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means not a barrier and 5 means a major barrier, to what extent do the following represent barriers to your department being able to make optimal use of its savings that occur **within a year**?

			Uncerta	inty over whe	ether/how mu	ch savings w	ill occur	
		Base	1 Not a barrier	2	3	4	5 A major barrier	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	11.9%	19.0%	23.8%	40.5%	4.8%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	12.5%	62.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	16.7%	25.0%	33.3%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means not a barrier and 5 means a major barrier, to what extent do the following represent barriers to your department being able to make optimal use of its savings that occur **within a year**?

		I	ack of transf	erability betw	een appropri	ation categor	ies and years	,
		Base	1 Not a barrier	2	3	4	5 A major barrier	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	16.7%	23.8%	14.3%	26.2%	19.0%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	25.0%	16.7%	8.3%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means not a barrier and 5 means a major barrier, to what extent do the following represent barriers to your department being able to make optimal use of its savings that occur **within a year**?

				Difficulties in	negotiations v	with Treasury	1	
		Base	1 Not a barrier	2	3	4	5 A major barrier	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	28.6%	31.0%	31.0%	7.1%	.0%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	37.5%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	41.7%	25.0%	16.7%	16.7%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	62.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means not a barrier and 5 means a major barrier, to what extent do the following represent barriers to your department being able to make optimal use of its savings that occur **within a year**?

				No incentive	to identify or	use savings		
		Base	1 Not a barrier	2	3	4	5 A major barrier	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	28.6%	38.1%	11.9%	7.1%	14.3%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	60.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	25.0%	41.7%	16.7%	.0%	16.7%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	50.0%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means not a barrier and 5 means a major barrier, to what extent do the following represent barriers to your department being able to make optimal use of its savings that occur **within a year**?

				Not aware of	any ability to	use savings		
		Base	1 Not a barrier	2	3	4	5 A major barrier	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	50.0%	31.0%	11.9%	4.8%	2.4%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	62.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	41.7%	33.3%	16.7%	8.3%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	37.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means not a barrier and 5 means a major barrier, to what extent do the following represent barriers to your department being able to make optimal use of its savings that occur **over a multi-year period**?

			Uncerta	inty over whe	ether/how mu	ch savings w	ill occur	
		Base	1 Not a barrier	2	3	4	5 A major barrier	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	14.3%	21.4%	23.8%	31.0%	7.1%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	25.0%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	12.5%	62.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means not a barrier and 5 means a major barrier, to what extent do the following represent barriers to your department being able to make optimal use of its savings that occur **over a multi-year period**?

		I	ack of transf	erability betw	een appropri	ation categor	ies and years	
		Base	1 Not a barrier	2	3	4	5 A major barrier	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	19.0%	16.7%	19.0%	21.4%	21.4%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	33.3%	16.7%	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means not a barrier and 5 means a major barrier, to what extent do the following represent barriers to your department being able to make optimal use of its savings that occur **over a multi-year period**?

				Difficulties in	negotiations	with Treasury	,	
		Base	1 Not a barrier	2	3	4	5 A major barrier	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	23.8%	28.6%	26.2%	9.5%	7.1%	4.8%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	41.7%	8.3%	33.3%	16.7%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	62.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means not a barrier and 5 means a major barrier, to what extent do the following represent barriers to your department being able to make optimal use of its savings that occur **over a multi-year period**?

				No incentive	to identify or	use savings		
		Base	1 Not a barrier	2	3	4	5 A major barrier	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	23.8%	35.7%	16.7%	14.3%	7.1%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	37.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	25.0%	50.0%	16.7%	.0%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means not a barrier and 5 means a major barrier, to what extent do the following represent barriers to your department being able to make optimal use of its savings that occur **over a multi-year period**?

				Not aware of	any ability to	use savings		
		Base	1 Not a barrier	2	3	4	5 A major barrier	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	31.0%	33.3%	23.8%	7.1%	2.4%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	33.3%	33.3%	25.0%	8.3%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means a very minor or nil contribution and 5 means a major contribution, how great a contribution does your finance function make to decisions in the following areas of your department's activity?

				Co	ontrolling cos	ts		
		Base Count	1 Very minor or nil contribution Row N %	2 Row N %	3 Row N %	4 Row N %	5 Major contribution Row N %	Don't know Row N %
All CFOs		42	7.1%	11.9%	21.4%	35.7%	23.8%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	25.0%	37.5%	37.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	.0%	16.7%	58.3%	16.7%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	37.5%	37.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means a very minor or nil contribution and 5 means a major contribution, how great a contribution does your finance function make to decisions in the following areas of your department's activity?

				Real	location decis	sions		
		Base	1 Very minor or nil contribution	2	3	4	5 Major contribution	Don't know
All CFOs	_	Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
		42	4.8%	7.1%	11.9%	57.1%	19.0%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	12.5%	62.5%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	.0%	16.7%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	12.5%	12.5%	75.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	.0%	.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means a very minor or nil contribution and 5 means a major contribution, how great a contribution does your finance function make to decisions in the following areas of your department's activity?

				Outsourc	ing or use of	shared servic	e centres		
		Base	1 Very minor or nil contribution	2	3	4	5 Major contribution	Don't know	7
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	9.5%	21.4%	33.3%	16.7%	7.1%	11.9%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	12.5%	75.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	25.0%	33.3%	25.0%	8.3%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means a very minor or nil contribution and 5 means a major contribution, how great a contribution does your finance function make to decisions in the following areas of your department's activity?

				Capital 6	expenditure d	ecisions		
		Base	1 Very minor or nil contribution	2	3	4	5 Major contribution	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs	•	42	4.8%	4.8%	14.3%	52.4%	23.8%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	.0%	16.7%	58.3%	16.7%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	12.5%	.0%	75.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means a very minor or nil contribution and 5 means a major contribution, how great a contribution does your finance function make to decisions in the following areas of your department's activity?

				Negotiatio	ns with major	suppliers		
		Base	1 Very minor or nil contribution	2	3	4	5 Major contribution	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	14.3%	16.7%	28.6%	23.8%	16.7%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	.0%	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	25.0%	33.3%	16.7%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means a very minor or nil contribution and 5 means a major contribution, how great a contribution does your finance function make to decisions in the following areas of your department's activity?

				Ris	sk manageme	ent		
		Base	1 Very minor or nil contribution	2	3	4	5 Major contribution	Don't know
All CFOs		Count 42	Row N % 4.8%	Row N % 11.9%	Row N % 42.9%	Row N % 31.0%	Row N % 9.5%	Row N %
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	62.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	50.0%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means a very minor or nil contribution and 5 means a major contribution, how great a contribution does your finance function make to decisions in the following areas of your department's activity?

				Develo	ping long-ter	m business s	trategy		
		Base	1 Very minor or nil contribution	2	3	4	5 Major contribution	Don't know	7
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	9.5%	16.7%	31.0%	33.3%	9.5%	.0%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	25.0%	8.3%	50.0%	8.3%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means a very minor or nil contribution and 5 means a major contribution, how great a contribution does your finance function make to decisions in the following areas of your department's activity?

		Revie	ew of policy prop	osals prior to	senior mana	gement team	review and mini	sterial submi	ssion
		Base	1 Very minor or nil contribution	2	3	4	5 Major contribution	Don't know	7
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	9.5%	19.0%	26.2%	31.0%	11.9%	2.4%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	37.5%	37.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means a very minor or nil contribution and 5 means a major contribution, how great a contribution does your finance function make to decisions in the following areas of your department's activity?

				Se	tting organisa	ational prioriti	es		
		Base	1 Very minor or nil contribution	2	3	4	5 Major contribution	Don't know	7
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	11.9%	26.2%	33.3%	23.8%	4.8%	.0%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	.0%	41.7%	33.3%	8.3%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	62.5%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

### COLLABORATION BETWEEN FINANCE FUNCTION AND THE FOLLOWING BUSINESS FUNCTIONS - CFOs

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means very good and 5 means very poor how would you rate the collaboration between the finance function and the following business functions in your department?

				Strategy	and planning	g teams		
		Base	1 Very good	2	3	4	5 Very poor	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	35.7%	33.3%	21.4%	4.8%	.0%	4.8%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	37.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	58.3%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	62.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	40.0%

### COLLABORATION BETWEEN FINANCE FUNCTION AND THE FOLLOWING BUSINESS FUNCTIONS - CFOs

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means very good and 5 means very poor how would you rate the collaboration between the finance function and the following business functions in your department?

				Hu	man Resourc	es		
		Base	1 Very good	2	3	4	5 Very poor	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	21.4%	52.4%	21.4%	4.8%	.0%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	62.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	62.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

## COLLABORATION BETWEEN FINANCE FUNCTION AND THE FOLLOWING BUSINESS FUNCTIONS - CFOs

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means very good and 5 means very poor how would you rate the collaboration between the finance function and the following business functions in your department?

				Other	corporate div	isions		
		Base	1 Very good	2	3	4	5 Very poor	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	19.0%	59.5%	19.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	62.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	58.3%	16.7%	8.3%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	62.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

## **COLLABORATION BETWEEN FINANCE FUNCTION AND THE FOLLOWING BUSINESS FUNCTIONS - CFOs**

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means very good and 5 means very poor how would you rate the collaboration between the finance function and the following business functions in your department?

				Р	olicy division	s		
		Base	1 Very good	2	3	4	5 Very poor	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	9.5%	50.0%	26.2%	9.5%	2.4%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	75.0%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

## **COLLABORATION BETWEEN FINANCE FUNCTION AND THE FOLLOWING BUSINESS FUNCTIONS - CFOs**

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means very good and 5 means very poor how would you rate the collaboration between the finance function and the following business functions in your department?

				Operat	ional busines	s units		
		Base	1 Very good	2	3	4	5 Very poor	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	14.3%	45.2%	31.0%	4.8%	2.4%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	37.5%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	25.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	58.3%	16.7%	.0%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	75.0%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means a very strong and 5 means very weak how strong or weak do you consider the finance function of your department to be in each of the following activities:

				Revenue mar	nagement/Cre	edit collection	ļ	
		Base	1 Very strong	2	3	4	5 Very weak	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	23.8%	52.4%	21.4%	.0%	2.4%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	37.5%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	62.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means a very strong and 5 means very weak how strong or weak do you consider the finance function of your department to be in each of the following activities:

				Expenditur	e/Creditor Ma	anagement		
		Base	1 Very strong	2	3	4	5 Very weak	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	38.1%	47.6%	14.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	62.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means a very strong and 5 means very weak how strong or weak do you consider the finance function of your department to be in each of the following activities:

			Balance she	et manageme	ent (monitorin	g of assets a	nd liabilities)	
		Base	1 Very strong	2	3	4	5 Very weak	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	21.4%	50.0%	19.0%	7.1%	2.4%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	62.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	25.0%	50.0%	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means a very strong and 5 means very weak how strong or weak do you consider the finance function of your department to be in each of the following activities:

		Produci	ng accurate i	-	ancial and ope	•	ormance info	rmation
		Base	1 Very strong	2	3	4	5 Very weak	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	21.4%	31.0%	26.2%	9.5%	11.9%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	25.0%	33.3%	25.0%	.0%	16.7%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means a very strong and 5 means very weak how strong or weak do you consider the finance function of your department to be in each of the following activities:

		Producin		s on the finar		-	ition for use b	y budget
		Base	1 Very strong	2	3	4	5 Very weak	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	19.0%	45.2%	23.8%	7.1%	4.8%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	66.7%	8.3%	.0%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means a very strong and 5 means very weak how strong or weak do you consider the finance function of your department to be in each of the following activities:

			Treasu	ry (i.e. cash a	and working c	apital) manag	gement	
		Base	1 Very strong	2	3	4	5 Very weak	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	26.2%	40.5%	28.6%	4.8%	.0%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	62.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	60.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	58.3%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	25.0%	37.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means a very strong and 5 means very weak how strong or weak do you consider the finance function of your department to be in each of the following activities:

		Providing p	prompt and a	•	onses to quer ers and Direc		ness manage	ers, budget
		Base	1 Very strong	2	3	4	5 Very weak	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	31.0%	40.5%	14.3%	7.1%	7.1%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
Large Policy Min with significant	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	41.7%	33.3%	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means a very strong and 5 means very weak how strong or weak do you consider the finance function of your department to be in each of the following activities:

			Providing r	easoned and	informed inp	ut to busines:	s decisions	
		Base	1 Very strong	2	3	4	5 Very weak	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	21.4%	45.2%	21.4%	9.5%	2.4%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	50.0%	37.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	33.3%	33.3%	25.0%	8.3%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	62.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means a very strong and 5 means very weak how strong or weak do you consider the finance function of your department to be in each of the following activities:

				Providin	ng accurate fo	recasts		
		Base	1 Very strong	2	3	4	5 Very weak	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	16.7%	40.5%	28.6%	4.8%	9.5%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	37.5%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	33.3%	41.7%	16.7%	.0%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	37.5%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means a very strong and 5 means very weak how strong or weak do you consider the finance function of your department to be in each of the following activities:

			Adv	ising busines	s units on op	erating decisi	ons	
		Base	1 Very strong	2	3	4	5 Very weak	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	11.9%	38.1%	31.0%	16.7%	.0%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	37.5%	25.0%	37.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	25.0%	33.3%	25.0%	16.7%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means a very strong and 5 means very weak how strong or weak do you consider the finance function of your department to be in each of the following activities:

			Collecting,	analysing an	nd reporting n	on-financial i	nformation	
		Base	1 Very strong	2	3	4	5 Very weak	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	4.8%	11.9%	38.1%	23.8%	14.3%	7.1%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	25.0%	33.3%	16.7%	8.3%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means a very strong and 5 means very weak how strong or weak do you consider the finance function of your department to be in each of the following activities:

		Build	ling and main	taining relation	onships with o	other areas of	f your departr	ment
		Base	1 Very strong	2	3	4	5 Very weak	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	28.6%	38.1%	26.2%	.0%	7.1%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	12.5%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	33.3%	33.3%	25.0%	.0%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means a very strong and 5 means very weak how strong or weak do you consider the finance function of your department to be in each of the following activities:

		ŀ	Cnowledge of	the workings	of non-finan	ce areas of th	e departmen	t
		Base	1 Very strong	2	3	4	5 Very weak	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	9.5%	38.1%	40.5%	7.1%	4.8%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	62.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	33.3%	41.7%	8.3%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	50.0%	37.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means highly effective and 5 means highly ineffective, how effective or ineffective are these technologies in delivering timely information to decision makers across your department?

				Strate	gic Planning	Tools		
		Base	1 Highly effective	2	3	4	5 Highly ineffective	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	2.4%	9.5%	31.0%	23.8%	11.9%	21.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	16.7%	25.0%	25.0%	8.3%	25.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	37.5%	25.0%	.0%	37.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means highly effective and 5 means highly ineffective, how effective or ineffective are these technologies in delivering timely information to decision makers across your department?

				Enterprise r	resource plan	ning (ERP)		
		Base	1 Highly effective	2	3	4	5 Highly ineffective	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	2.4%	9.5%	19.0%	26.2%	7.1%	35.7%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	50.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	20.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	8.3%	16.7%	25.0%	8.3%	41.7%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	12.5%	25.0%	.0%	62.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means highly effective and 5 means highly ineffective, how effective or ineffective are these technologies in delivering timely information to decision makers across your department?

				Budgeting	& forecasting	g systems		
		Base	1 Highly effective	2	3	4	5 Highly ineffective	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	11.9%	21.4%	50.0%	9.5%	4.8%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	16.7%	41.7%	16.7%	.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means highly effective and 5 means highly ineffective, how effective or ineffective are these technologies in delivering timely information to decision makers across your department?

		١	Non-financial	reporting, bu	siness intellig	ence & score	ecarding tools	,
		Base	1 Highly effective	2	3	4	5 Highly ineffective	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	2.4%	9.5%	28.6%	28.6%	9.5%	21.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%	25.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	8.3%	33.3%	25.0%	.0%	33.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	.0%	50.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	.0%	.0%	80.0%	.0%	.0%

			New f	inance system im	plementation/u	pgrade	
		Base	In place	Implementing	Going to implement	Not a priority	Not applicable
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	42.9%	19.0%	23.8%	9.5%	4.8%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	75.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	60.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	50.0%	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%

				Optimise existing	finance syster	n	
		Base	In place	Implementing	Going to implement	Not a priority	Not applicable
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	26.2%	42.9%	11.9%	4.8%	14.3%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	62.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	75.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	40.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	33.3%	41.7%	8.3%	.0%	16.7%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%

			Implem	ent or optimise e	xisting Shared	Services	
		Base	In place	Implementing	Going to implement	Not a priority	Not applicable
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	11.9%	14.3%	9.5%	23.8%	40.5%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	.0%	37.5%	25.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	25.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	40.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	8.3%	.0%	25.0%	58.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	.0%	25.0%	12.5%	37.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%

				Improve clos	ing process		
		Base	In place	Implementing	Going to implement	Not a priority	Not applicable
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	47.6%	11.9%	14.3%	16.7%	9.5%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	25.0%	.0%	37.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	75.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	50.0%	.0%	16.7%	25.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	50.0%	12.5%	25.0%	.0%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%

			I	mprove strategic	planning proce	SS	
		Base	In place	Implementing	Going to implement	Not a priority	Not applicable
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	23.8%	40.5%	19.0%	7.1%	9.5%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%	.0%	25.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	62.5%	.0%	12.5%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%

			Ir	nprove planning/b	oudgeting proce	ess	
		Base	In place	Implementing	Going to implement	Not a priority	Not applicable
	_	Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	38.1%	35.7%	21.4%	2.4%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	62.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	80.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	33.3%	33.3%	25.0%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	37.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

			D	evelop/improve c	osting approac	hes	
		Base	In place	Implementing	Going to implement	Not a priority	Not applicable
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	28.6%	14.3%	31.0%	19.0%	7.1%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	41.7%	.0%	25.0%	16.7%	16.7%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	.0%	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%

			Develo	p scorecards/key	performance ir	ndicators	
		Base	In place	Implementing	Going to implement	Not a priority	Not applicable
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	23.8%	21.4%	26.2%	16.7%	11.9%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	33.3%	16.7%	41.7%	.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	.0%	25.0%	37.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%

		Develo	p financial sta	atement control co	ertification/ inte	rnal control c	hecklists
		Base	In place	Implementing	Going to implement	Not a priority	Not applicable
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	59.5%	11.9%	9.5%	16.7%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	75.0%	8.3%	.0%	8.3%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	75.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%

			Poor fi	nancial awar	eness among	st non-financ	e staff	
		Base	1 Very important	2	3	4	5 Not at all important	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	19.0%	26.2%	31.0%	19.0%	4.8%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	25.0%	25.0%	33.3%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	50.0%	37.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%

		A lac	k of incentive managemer		ge senior bud agement of de			ancial
		Base	1 Very important	2	3	4	5 Not at all important	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	11.9%	28.6%	26.2%	28.6%	4.8%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	37.5%	37.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%

		Too much	focus in the		financial syste		ort term, i.e. t	he annual
		Base	1 Very important	2	3	4	5 Not at all important	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	31.0%	26.2%	26.2%	11.9%	2.4%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	16.7%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%

		Difficultie	s in finding, tr	aining and re	taining suitab	oly skilled and	d qualified fina	ance staff
		Base	1 Very important	2	3	4	5 Not at all important	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	31.0%	26.2%	33.3%	9.5%	.0%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	62.5%	12.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	41.7%	33.3%	8.3%	16.7%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

					nce managem			
		Base	1 Very important	2	3	4	5 Not at all important	Don't know
	_	Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	16.7%	23.8%	35.7%	21.4%	2.4%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	.0%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	41.7%	16.7%	16.7%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

		Business p	processes wh	ich have not le current requ				h do not fit
		Base	1 Very important	2	3	4	5 Not at all important	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	11.9%	26.2%	31.0%	16.7%	11.9%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	.0%	12.5%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	25.0%	33.3%	16.7%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%

		Insufficient	support from and re		nanagement to			of financial
		Base	1 Very important	2	3	4	5 Not at all important	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	7.1%	23.8%	28.6%	23.8%	16.7%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	37.5%	50.0%	.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	16.7%	25.0%	41.7%	8.3%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	.0%	.0%	60.0%	40.0%	.0%

### IMPORTANCE OF THE FOLLOWING BARRIERS TO IMPROVING FINANCE MANAGEMENT - CFOs

Thinking of your answers to previous questions and using a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means very important and 5 means not at all important, how important do you think the following barriers are to improving finance management in your department?

		Accou	nting IT syste	ms which are	inadequate	or incompatib	le with one a	nother
		Base	1 Very important	2	3	4	5 Not at all important	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	16.7%	16.7%	19.0%	35.7%	11.9%	.0%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	.0%	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	33.3%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	.0%	50.0%	37.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	60.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means highly desirable, 5 means highly undesirable and 3 means neutral, how desirable or undesirable do you consider it would be for central agencies to give greater priority to the following areas?

		Refin	ing public se	ctor incentive	arrangemen	ts regarding f	inancial manage	ment
		Base	1 Highly desirable	2	3 Neutral	4	5 Highly undesirable	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	33.3%	40.5%	21.4%	.0%	2.4%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	33.3%	41.7%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	.0%	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means highly desirable, 5 means highly undesirable and 3 means neutral, how desirable or undesirable do you consider it would be for central agencies to give greater priority to the following areas?

			Redi	ucing complia	nce cost bur	dens on depa	rtments	
		Base	1 Highly desirable	2	3 Neutral	4	5 Highly undesirable	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	45.2%	31.0%	19.0%	.0%	2.4%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	33.3%	50.0%	8.3%	.0%	.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	75.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means highly desirable, 5 means highly undesirable and 3 means neutral, how desirable or undesirable do you consider it would be for central agencies to give greater priority to the following areas?

			De	eveloping and	daugmenting	guidance ma	nterial	
		Base	1 Highly desirable	2	3 Neutral	4	5 Highly undesirable	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	16.7%	47.6%	26.2%	7.1%	.0%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	25.0%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means highly desirable, 5 means highly undesirable and 3 means neutral, how desirable or undesirable do you consider it would be for central agencies to give greater priority to the following areas?

				Troublesho	oting financia	l manageme	nt	
		Base	1 Highly desirable	2	3 Neutral	4	5 Highly undesirable	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	7.1%	28.6%	52.4%	2.4%	2.4%	7.1%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%	.0%	.0%	12.5%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	41.7%	41.7%	8.3%	.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	37.5%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means highly desirable, 5 means highly undesirable and 3 means neutral, how desirable or undesirable do you consider it would be for central agencies to give greater priority to the following areas?

			Es	tablishing all-	-of-governme	nt capability I	eader	
		Base	1 Highly desirable	2	3 Neutral	4	5 Highly undesirable	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	19.0%	23.8%	33.3%	7.1%	7.1%	9.5%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	.0%	12.5%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	41.7%	16.7%	.0%	8.3%	16.7%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%

On a 1 – 5 scale where 1 means highly desirable, 5 means highly undesirable and 3 means neutral, how desirable or undesirable do you consider it would be for central agencies to give greater priority to the following areas?

				Р	rovision of tra	ining		
		Base	1 Highly desirable	2	3 Neutral	4	5 Highly undesirable	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	16.7%	31.0%	40.5%	7.1%	2.4%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	.0%	80.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	8.3%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	50.0%	37.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

				Improving	budgeting an	d prioritisatio	า	
		Base	1 Highly desirable	2	3 Neutral	4	5 Highly undesirable	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	7.1%	45.2%	31.0%	11.9%	2.4%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	66.7%	16.7%	.0%	8.3%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	37.5%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

				lm	proving forec	asting		
		Base	1 Highly desirable	2	3 Neutral	4	5 Highly undesirable	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	14.3%	35.7%	38.1%	7.1%	2.4%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	25.0%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	8.3%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

				Improvii	ng control of e	expenditure		
		Base	1 Highly desirable	2	3 Neutral	4	5 Highly undesirable	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	7.1%	14.3%	57.1%	11.9%	7.1%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	.0%	62.5%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	.0%	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	.0%	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	16.7%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	.0%	75.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%

				Improvin	g manageme	nt of assets		
		Base	1 Highly desirable	2	3 Neutral	4	5 Highly undesirable	Don't know
		Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	11.9%	42.9%	38.1%	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	58.3%	16.7%	.0%	8.3%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	37.5%	62.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

			Improv	ing the overa	all standard of	financial ma	nagement	
		Base	1 Highly desirable	2	3 Neutral	4	5 Highly undesirable	Don't know
	_	Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	14.3%	40.5%	35.7%	7.1%	.0%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	25.0%	62.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	37.5%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Improving identification and management of liabilities						
		Base	1 Highly desirable	2	3 Neutral	4	5 Highly undesirable	Don't know
	_	Count	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %
All CFOs		42	9.5%	19.0%	54.8%	11.9%	2.4%	2.4%
CFOs - Departmental Groupings	Large Operational Departments with significant non-departmental activity	8	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Large Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	4	.0%	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Large Operational Departments	5	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Operational Departments	12	.0%	25.0%	41.7%	16.7%	8.3%	8.3%
	Small Policy Ministries with significant non-departmental activity	8	.0%	12.5%	87.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Small Policy Ministries	5	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%